

DECON GREEN™

George W. Wagner, Lawrence R. Procell, Vikki D. Henderson, David C. Sorrick, Zoe A. Hess,
David G. Gehring and Mark D. Brickhouse
U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Decon Green™ is a hydrogen peroxide-based decontaminant designed to replace DS2 and affords the broad-spectrum decontamination of chem/bio agents. Composed entirely of ingredients commonly found in cosmetics, detergents, laundry boosters and vitamins, Decon Green™ is extremely environmentally friendly and leaves no toxic residues. Decon Green™ retains the low temperature decontamination ability of DS2, but is non-corrosive to aluminum and steel. Decon Green™ is less harsh than DS2 on CARC-painted surfaces, yet provides a more thorough decontamination efficacy. The penetrating ability of Decon Green™'s excellent solvent system provides for the unsurpassed decontamination of both hard and soft surfaces. However, the latter may be compromised to minimize life-threatening off-gassing of sorbed agent from these non-hardened surfaces.

INTRODUCTION

Decon Green™,¹ an environmentally friendly decontaminant that has been under development for nearly a decade, is based on hydrogen peroxide – an environmentally friendly oxidant that leaves no toxic residues owing to its ready decomposition to water and oxygen in the environment. The development of Decon Green™ draws on the knowledge of many studies demonstrating the use of hydrogen peroxide to decontaminate chemical warfare agents; some nearly 50 years old. For example, early studies by Epstein et al.² and Larsson³ showed that GB readily reacts with perhydroxyl ion (basic peroxide). Decades later, Yang et al.⁴ reported that VX similarly undergoes rapid perhydrolysis, in a selective manner, to form non-toxic ethyl methylphosphonate (EMPA); thus avoiding formation of toxic EA-2192 (*S*-(2-diisopropylamino) ethyl methylphosphonothioate). Shortly thereafter, Drago et al.⁵ found that hydrogen peroxide activated baking soda, forming its monoperoxocarbonate, was very effective for the selective oxidation of HD to its non-vesicant sulfone. At the conclusion of this latter study, it was recognized by Wagner and Yang⁶ that hydrogen peroxide could be used to construct a decontamination solution effective for all three classes of chemical warfare agents: nerve agents G and V; and blister agent HD. The development of Decon Green™ then began in earnest.

Early formulations of Decon Green™ developed by Wagner et al.⁷ utilized three simple components: baking soda, hydrogen peroxide and an alcohol co-solvent; the latter ingredient added mainly to dissolve water-insoluble HD. However, it soon became apparent that more basic solutions were required to effectively react with realistic quantities of VX, at which point the more basic carbonate, e.g. K₂CO₃, was utilized. Yet this decreased the effectiveness of such formulas for HD oxidation as the formation of the needed monoperoxocarbonate is curtailed at higher pH.⁸ Wagner et al.⁸ then began utilizing molybdate, e.g. K₂MoO₄, which forms the active oxidant tetraperoxomolybdate in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Moreover, tetraperoxomolybdate is stable at basic pH and was found to be about two orders of magnitude more effective for selective HD oxidation than monoperoxocarbonate.⁸ Therefore molybdate replaced

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 17 NOV 2004		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Decon Green				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001849, 2004 Scientific Conference on Chemical and Biological Defense Research. Held in Hunt Valley, Maryland on 15-17 November 2004 .					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 3	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

carbonate as the preferred oxidation catalyst for HD in Decon GreenTM.⁹ It should be noted that molybdate is an essential mineral and is an ingredient in vitamins. Thus the inclusion of molybdate in Decon GreenTM is in keeping with the environmentally friendly philosophy relied upon for the development of the decontaminant: “if you can eat it or put it on your skin, it is OK to use it as an ingredient in the decontaminant”. At the same time molybdate was being examined, microemulsions were also developed^{9,10} using solvents commonly found in cosmetics and surfactants routinely employed in agricultural spraying; again in keeping with the principle of selecting and employing only environmentally friendly ingredients.

In the current manuscript, data is reported for the decontamination of GD, VX, and HD on CARC painted surfaces to demonstrate the effectiveness of Decon GreenTM for the decontamination of these chemical agents. Additional data is given for *Bacillus anthracis* and *Bacillus stearothermophilus* demonstrating that Decon GreenTM is also effective against bio agents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows results for an initial formula, Decon GreenTM “Classic”, as compared to DS2. It can be seen that Decon GreenTM Classic is either comparable to, or in most cases superior to DS2 for the decontamination of HD, THD (thickened HD), TGD (thickened GD), and VX. Table 2 gives results for the decontamination of *Bacillus anthracis* spores by Decon GreenTM Classic and HTH. Thus besides being a superior chem decon, Decon GreenTM Classic is also a superior bio decon.

TABLE 1. Decontamination of HD, THD, TGD, and VX on CARC-Painted Panels^a
Decon GreenTMClassic vs. DS2

	HD		THD		TGD		VX	
Decon	Cont.	Resid.	Cont.	Resid.	Cont.	Resid.	Cont.	Resid.
Decon Green TM Classic	5.7	17.5	1.8	6.1	3.0	12.9	3.3	39.5
DS2	5.2	54.1	2.3	7.2	3.0	23.9	3.6	77.0

^aInitial contamination level 10 g/m². Agent dwell time 1 hour. 15 min decontamination time. Contact hazard and residual agent expressed in µg/cm². Average of six replicates reported.

TABLE 2. Decontamination of *Bacillus anthracis*: Decon GreenTM Classic vs. HTH^a

Decon	Challenge	CFU ^b Recovered	Log Kill
Decon Green TM Classic	2.5×10^8	< 10 (no viable spores detected)	> 8-log
0.8 % HTH	2×10^7	3.2×10^6	< 1-log

^a15 min decontamination time. ^bColony-forming units.

Although Decon GreenTM Classic performed quite well compared to DS2, it was desired to develop a new formula that would be less harsh on “soft” materials such as plastic, rubber and painted surfaces. This concern resulted in the development of New Decon GreenTM. Results for this decontaminant, compared to Decon GreenTM Classic, are given in Table 3. It can be readily seen from these results that New Decon GreenTM, although less penetrating and therefore less harmful to soft materials, is not as effective as Decon

TABLE 3. Decontamination of HD, THD, TGD, and VX on CARC-Painted Panels^a
New Decon GreenTM vs. Decon GreenTM Classic

	HD			THD			TGD			VX		
Decon	C1 ^b	C2 ^c	Res.	C1	C2	Res.	C1	C2	Res.	C1	C2	Res.
New Decon Green TM	43.3	12.26	154.6	115.1	12.97	29.9	9.1	1.84	14.9	4.14	1.28	53.8
Decon Green TM Classic	17.2	3.56	57.0	16.38	2.65	91.3	5.2	1.66	13.3	1.46	0.37	47.2

^aInitial contamination level 10 g/m². Agent dwell time 1 hour. 15 min decontamination time. Contact hazard and residual agent expressed in µg/cm². Average of six replicates reported.

^b0 – 15 min contact hazard. ^c45 – 60 min contact hazard.

GreenTM Classic for the decontamination of CARC painted surfaces. Table 4 shows decontamination results for *Bacillus stearothermophilus* spores, a species considered extremely resistant to peroxide sterilants. As seen for Decon GreenTM Classic, New Decon GreenTM retains excellent bio decon efficacy.

TABLE 4. Decontamination of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* by New Decon GreenTM

Challenge	CFU Recovered	Log Kill
1×10^5	No viable spores detected	≥ 5 -log

^a10 min decontamination time. ^bColony-forming units.

The data obtained for both Decon GreenTM Classic and New Decon GreenTM show that viable peroxide-based decontaminants may be formulated which are superior to conventional, environmentally-harmful decontaminants. For soft materials such as plastics, rubber and painted surfaces, decontaminants which penetrate well provide the best and most thorough decontamination. However, such soft materials may be compromised by harsh decontaminants. This is perhaps a small price to pay when the health and welfare of personnel is considered.

CONCLUSION

Environmentally friendly, hydrogen peroxide-based decontaminants such as Decon GreenTM may be formulated which are superior to conventional, environmentally harmful decontaminants. Moreover, these decontaminants simultaneously afford the rapid and effective decontamination of both chem and bio agents. However, penetrating formulas are needed to adequately decontaminate soft materials and these materials may be compromised during the decontamination process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the late Prof. Russell S. Drago and Prof. Clifford A. Bunton for many helpful discussions; Mr. Abraham L. Turetsky and Dr. Vipin K. Rastogi for performing the *Bacillus anthracis* work; and Dr. Dan Klein, STERIS Corp., for performing the *Bacillus stearothermophilus* study.

REFERENCES

1. Trademark applied for.
2. Epstein, J.; Demek, M. M.; Rosenblatt, D. H. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1956**, *21*, 796 – 797, and references therein.
3. Larsson, L. *Acta. Chem. Scand.*, **1958**, *12*, 723 – 730.
4. Yang, Y.-C.; Szfraniec, L. L.; Beaudry, W. T.; Bunton, C. A. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1993**, *58*, 6964 – 6965.
5. Drago, R. S.; Frank, K. M.; Wagner, G.; Yang, Y.-C. In “*Proc. 1997 ERDEC Sci. Conf. Chem. Bio. Def. Res.*” ERDEC-SP-063; U.S. Army Edgewood Research, Development and Engineering Center: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1998; pp 341-342.
6. Wagner, G. W.; Yang, Y.-C. Universal decontaminating solution for chemical warfare agents. U.S. Patent 6,245,957, 2001.
7. Wagner, G. W.; Yang, Y.-C. In “*Proc. 1998 ERDEC Sci. Conf. Chem. Bio. Def. Res.*” ECBC-SP-004; U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1999; pp 293 – 299.
8. Wagner, G. W.; Procell, L. R.; Yang, Y.-C.; Bunton, C. A. *Langmuir*, **2001**, *17*, 4809 – 4811.
9. Wagner, G. W.; Procell, L. R.; Yang, Y.-C.; Bunton, C. A. Molybdate/peroxide microemulsions useful for decontamination of chemical warfare agents. U.S. Patent 6,723,891, 2004.
10. Wagner, G. W.; Yang, Y.-C. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2002**, *41*, 1925-1928.